

## Can We Put The "Honor" Back In "Your Honor"?

I heard a lecture by a former high ranking judge in the UK, explaining his take of their system and judges' role and characteristics presented in a way that suggested a highly idealized view of "the majority" of judges in that system.

I wonder if a survey of reasonably knowledgable pro per litigants, particularly prisoners, would agree with that depiction or whether, like me, they would find that eight-tenths of the judicial officers encountered in the course of litigation are professionally incompetent, self-aggrandizing jackasses ruled solely by personal biases, who are subject to be sneaky manipulators eager to abuse court processes or willing to perpetrate fraud and crimes against a party to aid in attainment of an unlawful, incompetent decision based not on law or fact but whim.

Several Superior Court judges in San Diego earning the status with me as incompetents, frauds, bigots, or outright criminals (or some combination thereof) and a danger to the public include Robert Longstreth, Brad Weinreb, John Meyer, and Margie Woods.

Because a lot of information goes into my evaluation of a judicial officer, I cannot detail the reasons each has made my list here, but an example of recent history fraud, incompetence, and criminal activity by a particular state court judge who made it his mission to cause me as many problems as possible through such activity and ready abuse of his position, in a breach of public trust, is detailed in the recent posted legal documents section.

The fact a judicial officer gets away with this kind of abuse and assumes he can get away with it demonstrates the lack of oversight of state court judges, their low caliber of professionalism and unethical standards, while exemplifying the fundamental defectiveness of the state court system.